



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,011	11/06/2001	Depeng Bi	11748/25	8815

7590 08/21/2007
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS
Attention: Patent Administrator
Suite 1600
525 West Monroe Street
Chicago, IL 60661-3693

EXAMINER

CHOWDHURY, SUMAIYA A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/21/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/994,011	Applicant(s) BI ET AL.	
	Examiner Sumaiya A. Chowdhury	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 July 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/12/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant argues "The Moroney patent teaches the use of an on-screen display...computing platform" on page 1, 3rd paragraph of the Remarks filed 7/12/07. The Examiner has replaced the Moroney reference with Wood (US 2002/0057893).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Darbee (6130726) in view of Wood (US 2002/0057893).

As for claim 1, Darbee teaches a system for controlling playback of digital content,

the system comprising:

a computing platform (set-top box) which communicates with a remote playback device (TV display);

a digital content player application (program guide) resident on said computing platform for enabling playback of said digital content on said remote playback device; [The program guide is delivered to the set-top box where it is stored. The program guide allows the user to select programs to view. – col. 8, lines 60-67] and

a remote control device (12 – Fig. 1) which includes a communication system (RF or IR link) for communicating with said computing platform over a predetermined communication link (wireless link; col. 9, lines 7-20), said remote control device further including a display (14 – Fig. 1) for displaying a list of stored digital content (television programs), said remote device further including a input device (remote keys) to enable digital content to be selected from the stored digital content and a control system for displaying said available digital content and causing the selected digital content to be played on said remote playback device (Program guide is displayed on the remote control screen, from which the user can select a program to view on the television screen – col. 11, lines 6-11, col. 13, lines 14-14).

However, Darbee fails to teach the following:

The computing platform for storing digital content;

In an analogous art, Wood teaches an EPG which displays a personal channel (1010 Finance – fig. 5). The personal channel consists of programs recorded on the

receiver. Using the EPG, the user tunes to the desired program on the personal channel. – [0099], [0107], [0108], [0110], [0111]

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Darbee's invention to include the above mentioned limitation, as taught by Wood, for the advantage of allowing the user to interact with multiple sources of video through one common interface.

As for claim 2, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Darbee discloses wherein the system includes a transmitter for transmitting from said computing platform (STB) to a remote analog playback device (TV display) – (There is clearly some type of communication (ex. Coaxial cable) between the STB and television since the user selects what to view on the television. - col. 11, lines 6-11, col. 13, lines 14-14).

As for claims 3 & 4, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Wood discloses wherein the digital content is digital audio and video data - [0018].

As for claims 5 & 9, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Wood discloses the memory is a hard drive – [0048], [0072].

As for claims 6 & 7, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Darbee discloses wherein the terminal receives the digital content over an Internet network – (Provides internet content display; therefore, the internet is used to retrieve content – col. 7, lines 50-52)

As for claim 8, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Darbee discloses wherein the user interacts with the computing platform by inputting commands into the remote control which are outputted by the remote control and transmitted to the STB – col. 9, lines 7-20.

As for claim 10, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Wood discloses a removable storage device – [0145].

As for claims 11-13, Darbee and Wood disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Darbee discloses wherein the remote control communicates with the computer over an RF or infrared wireless link (col. 9, lines 7-20).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumaiya A. Chowdhury whose telephone number is (571) 272-8567. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 9-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SAC


ANDREW Y. KOENIG
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER